

Lecture 11: Universal Properties III

Lecturer: Max S. New

Scribe: Yuchen Jiang

October 1, 2025

1 Presheaves and Yoneda's Lemma

Recap: definition of a presheaf.

Definition 1. A presheaf \mathcal{P} on a category \mathcal{C} defines

- $\forall X \in \mathcal{C}_0$, a set $\mathcal{P}(X)$
- $\forall X, Y \in \mathcal{C}_0$ and $f : Y \rightarrow X$, an action $\circ_{\mathcal{P}}$

$$\frac{p : \mathcal{P}(X) \quad f : Y \rightarrow X}{p \circ_{\mathcal{P}} f : \mathcal{P}(Y)}$$

and the action should look like composition, i.e. the identity and composition of morphisms in \mathcal{C} should be preserved.

$$\begin{aligned} p \circ_{\mathcal{P}} \text{id}_X &= p \\ p \circ_{\mathcal{P}} (g \circ_{\mathcal{C}} f) &= (p \circ_{\mathcal{P}} g) \circ_{\mathcal{P}} f \end{aligned}$$

Remark. The definition above can be interpreted as a functor: a presheaf \mathcal{P} on a category \mathcal{C} is a functor $P : \mathcal{C}^{op} \rightarrow \text{Set}$.

As a result, the right notion of morphism between presheaves is a natural transformation. Let \mathcal{P} and \mathcal{Q} be presheaves on \mathcal{C} , then a natural transformation $\alpha : \mathcal{P} \Rightarrow \mathcal{Q}$ is a family of morphisms $\alpha_X : \mathcal{P}(X) \rightarrow \mathcal{Q}(X)$ for all $X \in \mathcal{C}_0$ s.t.

$$\forall f : Y \rightarrow X \text{ and } p : \mathcal{P}(X), \alpha_Y(p \circ_{\mathcal{P}} f) = \alpha_X(p) \circ_{\mathcal{Q}} f$$

We also talked about the analogy between sets, preorders, and categories.

Set	Preorder	Category
=	\leq	\rightarrow
Functions	Monotone Functions	Functors
Subsets / Predicates	Downwards-Closed Subsets	Presheaves

Now let's talk about how the Yoneda's Lemma looks like in these different contexts.

Yoneda's Lemma for Sets

For any set X , there exists its power set $\mathcal{P}X$ and a function

$$X \xrightarrow{\{-\}} \mathcal{P}X$$

which is the singleton set. Yoneda's Lemma for Sets simply states that $\forall X, \forall P \subseteq X$,

$$\{x\} = P \text{ iff } x \in P \text{ and } \forall y \in P, y = x$$

We can instead think of \mathcal{P} as a function $X \rightarrow 2$, i.e. a predicate, then the following holds:

$$\exists! x. P(x) := \{x\} = \{y \mid P(y)\}$$

Yoneda's Lemma for Preorders

We've already seen the baby Yoneda's Lemma for preorders. $\forall x, S \subseteq_{\text{down}} P$, the principle downset $\downarrow x \subseteq S$ iff $x \in S$.

Yoneda's Lemma for Categories

Given a category \mathcal{C} , we can define a presheaf $\downarrow X$ for each object $X \in \mathcal{C}_0$ as follows:

- $\downarrow X : \mathcal{C}^{op} \rightarrow \text{Set}$
- At some object Y , $(\downarrow X)(Y) := \mathcal{C}(Y, X)$
- Since $(\downarrow X)(Y)$ is a set of morphisms, we can specify the action of $\downarrow X$ as

$$\frac{f \in (\downarrow X)(Y) \quad g \in \mathcal{C}(Z, Y)}{f \circ_{\downarrow X} g := f \circ g}$$

Then the Yoneda's Lemma is a characterization of the representable presheaves, which is called the Yoneda embedding. It's a universal property of the presheaf $\downarrow X$ as an object of the category of presheaves.

Stepping back for a second, we can ask a question: what's an obvious element of the presheaf $\downarrow X$? Well we can certainly think of the identity morphism:

$$\text{id}_X : (\downarrow X)(X)$$

In fact, that's the only element that is guaranteed to be in the presheaf. What the Yoneda lemma tells us is that the presheaf $\downarrow X$ is freely generated from this one element id_X .

Lemma 1. $\forall p : \mathcal{P}(X)$, there exists a unique natural transformation $[p] : \downarrow X \Rightarrow \mathcal{P}$ s.t. $[p]_X(\text{id}_X) = p$

Proof. Given $p : \mathcal{P}(X)$, we can define $[p] : \mathcal{Y} X \Rightarrow \mathcal{P}$ as follows: $\forall Y \in \mathcal{C}_0$,

$$[p]_Y(f) := p \circ_{\mathcal{P}} f$$

Then we need to show that it's natural and unique.

By natural we mean $[p]_X(\text{id}_X) = p$ and $[p]_Y(g \circ f) = [p]_Y(g) \circ_{\mathcal{P}} f$. They both follow from the definition of $[p]$.

By unique we mean for any two natural transformations $\alpha, \beta : \mathcal{Y} X \Rightarrow \mathcal{P}$ s.t. $\alpha_X(\text{id}_X) = p = \beta_X(\text{id}_X)$, we have $\alpha = \beta$. We want to show that $\alpha_Y(f) = \beta_Y(f)$ for all $Y \in \mathcal{C}_0$ and $f : Y \rightarrow X$. Since

$$\alpha_Y(f) = \alpha_Y(\text{id}_X \circ f) = \alpha_X(\text{id}_X) \circ_{\mathcal{P}} f = p \circ_{\mathcal{P}} f$$

and similarly for β , we get $\alpha_Y(f) = \beta_Y(f)$. Therefore $\alpha = \beta$. \square

2 Universal Elements of Presheaves

We can further generalize $\mathcal{Y} X$ in the Yoneda Embedding to be an arbitrary presheaf \mathcal{P} with the concept of universal elements of presheaves. A universal X -element of presheaf \mathcal{P} is an element $\eta : \mathcal{P}(X)$ s.t. $\forall q : \mathcal{Q}(X)$, there exists a unique $[q] : \mathcal{P} \Rightarrow \mathcal{Q}$ satisfying

$$[q]_X(\eta) = q$$

Universal elements are unique up to unique isomorphism.

Theorem 1. *Given a presheaf \mathcal{P} and an universal X -element $\eta : \mathcal{P}(X)$ and another presheaf \mathcal{Q} and an universal X -element $\epsilon : \mathcal{Q}(X)$, there exists a unique natural isomorphism $i : \mathcal{P} \Rightarrow \mathcal{Q}$ s.t. $i_X(\eta) = \epsilon$.*

Proof. We simply define $i := [\epsilon] : \mathcal{P} \Rightarrow \mathcal{Q}$ and its inverse $i^{-1} := [\eta] : \mathcal{Q} \Rightarrow \mathcal{P}$. Then we verify that i is indeed a natural isomorphism. We want to show (and similarly for $i \circ i^{-1}$):

$$i^{-1} \circ i = \text{id} : \mathcal{P} \Rightarrow \mathcal{P}$$

which means $i^{-1}(i(\eta)) = \eta$, namely $[\eta]([\epsilon](\eta)) = \eta$. By definition, $[\epsilon](\eta) = \epsilon$, and $[\eta](\epsilon) = \eta$. Therefore the above equation holds, and similarly for the other direction. \square

Corollary 1. *If $\eta_X : \mathcal{P}(X)$ is a universal element, then*

$$[\eta_X] : \mathcal{Y} X \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{P}$$

We denote natural isomorphism by $\xrightarrow{\sim}$.

A second part of the Yoneda's Lemma:

Lemma 2. *The universal element of a presheaf \mathcal{P} at object X is isomorphic to the natural isomorphism between the Yoneda embedding $\mathcal{Y} X$ and \mathcal{P} .*

$$\text{UnivElt } \mathcal{P}(X) \cong \text{NatIso } \mathcal{Y} X \mathcal{P}$$

This part of the lemma means that we can find the universal element if we know the natural isomorphism $i : \mathcal{J}X \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{P}$, namely $i_X(\text{id}_X) : \mathcal{P}(X)$ is universal.

Proof. Let $q : \mathcal{Q}(X)$ be an arbitrary element of \mathcal{Q} . We want to show that there exists a unique natural transformation $[q] : \mathcal{J}X \Rightarrow \mathcal{Q}$ s.t. $[q]_X(i_X(\text{id})) = q$.

We know that $i^{-1}(p) : (\mathcal{J}X)(Y)$, namely $\mathcal{C}(Y, X)$, which can be composed with $q : \mathcal{Q}(X)$ so that we can define $[q]_Y(p) := q \circ i^{-1}(p) : \mathcal{Q}(Y)$. Therefore the following holds:

$$[q]_Y(i(\text{id})) = q \circ i^{-1}(i(\text{id})) = q$$

which is exactly what we want to show. \square

What we've just shown hints to an elegant construction of the universal element from the natural isomorphism $i : \mathcal{J}X \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{P}$. For any presheaf \mathcal{Q} , we can construct the natural transformation $\alpha : \mathcal{P} \Rightarrow \mathcal{Q}$ by composing $i^{-1} : \mathcal{P} \Rightarrow \mathcal{J}X$ and $[q] : \mathcal{J}X \Rightarrow \mathcal{Q}$:

$$\mathcal{P} \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{J}X \Rightarrow \mathcal{Q}$$

which concludes that universal elements are isomorphic to natural isomorphisms. From now on, we shall **define all universal properties in terms of natural isomorphisms** $\text{NatIso } \mathcal{J}X \mathcal{P}$ **with a clever choice of \mathcal{P} .**

3 Universal Properties, Revisited

All instances of universal properties that we've seen so far can be formulated in terms of the definition above.

Terminal Object

A terminal object is an object 1 s.t. for any object X , there exists a unique morphism $! : X \xrightarrow{\exists!} 1$. This definition can be rephrased as

$$X \xrightarrow{\exists!} 1 \cong \text{UnivElt } \mathcal{P}(1)$$

where $\mathcal{P} : \mathcal{C}^{op} \rightarrow \text{Set}$ is the presheaf that sends every object to the singleton set (the 1-element set). Namely,

$$\mathcal{P}(X) = \{*\}$$

for all $X \in \mathcal{C}_0$. In fact, we should give \mathcal{P} a name: TermPsh .

What does it mean to be a universal element of TermPsh ?

- An element at the terminal object: $* : \text{TermPsh}(1)$
- The action of the element is $* \circ f = *$ for all $f : X \rightarrow 1$.
- The element $*$ is universal, namely we can define a natural isomorphism $[*] : \mathcal{J}1 \Rightarrow \text{TermPsh}$ s.t.

$$\mathcal{C}(X, 1) \xrightarrow{\sim} \{*\}$$

where $f \mapsto * \circ f$ for all $f : X \rightarrow 1$.

Product

Given two objects A, B in \mathcal{C} , a product is an object P s.t. for any object C that has morphisms to A and B , there exists a unique morphism $(f_1, f_2) : C \rightarrow P$ s.t. the following diagram commutes:

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
 & & P & & \\
 & \swarrow \pi_1 & \uparrow & \searrow \pi_2 & \\
 A & & \exists!(f_1, f_2) & & B \\
 & \nwarrow f_1 & \downarrow & \nearrow f_2 & \\
 & & C & &
 \end{array} \cong \text{UnivElt ProdPsh}(A, B)(P)$$

We want to show that all data in the diagram is completely determined by the universal element of $\text{ProdPsh}(A, B)(P)$.

First, we define the presheaf $\text{ProdPsh}(A, B)$ as follows:

- The element at $C \in \mathcal{C}_0$ is defined as $\text{ProdPsh}(A, B)(C) := \mathcal{C}(C, A) \times \mathcal{C}(C, B)$.
- The action $\circ_{\text{ProdPsh}(A, B)}$ is defined as

$$\frac{(f_1, f_2) : \text{ProdPsh}(A, B)(C) \quad g : \mathcal{C}(D, C)}{(f_1, f_2) \circ_{\text{ProdPsh}(A, B)} g := (f_1 \circ g, f_2 \circ g)}$$

And then we define the universal element η of $\text{ProdPsh}(A, B)$ as follows:

- $\eta : \text{ProdPsh}(A, B)(P)$ is defined as (π_1, π_2) where $\pi_1 : \mathcal{C}(P, A)$ and $\pi_2 : \mathcal{C}(P, B)$ are the projections out of the product P .
- We can check that $[\eta] : \mathcal{Y} P \xrightarrow{\sim} \text{ProdPsh}(A, B)$ is a natural isomorphism, which means given $f : \mathcal{C}(C, P)$ for any object C , we send it through the natural isomorphism as $f \mapsto (\pi_1, \pi_2) \circ f$, which is exactly $f \mapsto (\pi_1 \circ f, \pi_2 \circ f)$. As a result, we can rewrite f to be a pair $(f_1, f_2) : \text{ProdPsh}(A, B)(C)$.
- Moreover, given $(f_1, f_2) : \text{ProdPsh}(A, B)(C)$, we can take the inverse of the natural isomorphism $[\eta]^{-1} : \text{ProdPsh}(A, B) \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{Y} P$ to get a morphism $(f_1, f_2) : \mathcal{C}(C, P)$. We can then compress the fact that the diagram commutes into a single equation:

$$(\pi_1 \circ (f_1, f_2), \pi_2 \circ (f_1, f_2)) = (f_1, f_2)$$

which corresponds awfully well with the β -laws of the product.

- Similarly, if we start with any object C instead of fixing one, we can get the η -laws by saying that all morphisms from C to P are the same morphism.

We may also formulate the universal property in terms of the natural isomorphism. Taking product as an example, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 \mathcal{C}(C, P) &\cong \mathcal{C}(C, A) \times \mathcal{C}(C, B) \\
 \mathcal{Y} P &\cong \text{ProdPsh}(A, B)
 \end{aligned}$$

Initial Object

An initial object is an object 0 s.t. for any object X , there exists a unique morphism $j : 0 \xrightarrow{\exists!} X$. We may attempt to formulate the corresponding presheaf EmpPsh as

$$\text{EmpPsh}(X) := \emptyset$$

However, there are presheaves that are not representable, and the empty presheaf is one of them, meaning that EmpPsh is not representable. Generally speaking, the right-hand universal properties like the terminal object and the products talk about maps into the object, defining morphisms into the object. But the initial object is a left-hand universal property that talks about maps out of the object. As a result, the initial object can only be defined by the terminal object on the opposite category.

It's funny to think about what a presheaf \mathcal{P} looks like when it's on an opposite category \mathcal{C}^{op} :

$$\text{Presheaf on } \mathcal{C}^{op} \cong (\mathcal{C}^{op})^{op} \rightarrow \text{Set} \cong \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \text{Set}$$

It's called a contravariant presheaf, and instead of defining the action $p \circ f$ for a presheaf, we define the action $f \circ p$ for a contravariant presheaf.

As for the initial object in \mathcal{C} , we can just define it as the terminal object in \mathcal{C}^{op} , defined as $\text{TermPsh}^{\mathcal{C}^{op}}$.

Coproduct

Similarly, the coproduct can be defined as the product on the opposite category.

$$\text{CoproductPsh}(A, B)^{\mathcal{C}} \cong \text{ProdPsh}^{\mathcal{C}^{op}}(A, B)$$

And if we expand the definition we'll get:

$$\begin{aligned} \text{ProdPsh}^{\mathcal{C}^{op}}(A, B)(C) &:= \mathcal{C}^{op}(C, A) \times \mathcal{C}^{op}(C, B) \\ &= \mathcal{C}(A, C) \times \mathcal{C}(B, C) \end{aligned}$$

There is also the notion of a sum presheaf:

$$\text{SumPsh}(A, B)(C) := \mathcal{C}(C, A) + \mathcal{C}(C, B)$$

But it's almost never representable.

Exponential

Given two objects A, B , an exponential object E satisfies

$$\begin{array}{ccc} E \times A & \xrightarrow{\text{app}} & B \\ \uparrow \text{ } \exists!(\lambda f \circ \pi_1, \pi_2) & \nearrow f & \\ Z \times A & & \end{array} \cong \text{UnivElt } \text{ExpPsh}(A, B)(E)$$

where $\text{ExpPsh}(A, B)$ is defined as

- Elements $\text{ExpPsh}(A, B)(C) := \mathcal{C}(C \times A, B)$
- Action

$$\frac{f : \mathcal{C}(C \times A, B) \quad g : \mathcal{C}(D, C)}{f \circ_{\text{ExpPsh}(A, B)} g : \mathcal{C}(D \times A, B)}$$

defined as $f \circ_{\text{ExpPsh}(A, B)} g := f \circ (g \circ \pi_1, \pi_2)$.

- ...and the action preserves the identity and composition.

Let's look at two more easier examples.

Graph Coloring

Given a graph G , the K -coloring(G) is a function $\chi : G.v \rightarrow [K]$ from vertices to a set of K elements (colors), s.t. if vertices $g \sim h$ are adjacent, then $\chi(g) \neq \chi(h)$. Then an interesting question arises: Is the presheaf χ representable? (Is there a graph G with a universal K -coloring?) That is to say, can we find a graph $[K]$ such that $\chi : K\text{-coloring}([K])$ is the universal K -coloring?

$$G \xrightarrow{\varphi} [K] \xrightarrow{\chi} [K]$$

In other words, can we find a graph $[K]$ such that for any graph G , the following natural isomorphism holds:

$$\text{GraphHom}(G, [K]) \cong K\text{-coloring}(G)$$

The answer is we can define $[K]$ as a complete graph on K vertices. All vertices are connected to each other, except for itself. In this way, each vertex represents a unique color, and all colors can only have neighbors of different colors. Defining the graph homomorphism from G to $[K]$ is then the same as defining a color-assignment function.

Subobject Classifier

Revisiting the Powerset Functor $\mathcal{P} : \text{Set}^{op} \Rightarrow \text{Set}$. The element $\mathcal{P}(X)$ is the powerset of X . Given $f : X \rightarrow Y$, we can define $f^{-1} : \mathcal{P}(Y) \rightarrow \mathcal{P}(X)$ as

$$f^{-1}(S) := \{x \in X \mid f(x) \in S\}$$

Then what would the universal element of \mathcal{P} be? Suppose we call it $\eta : \mathcal{P}(A)$, and it being universal means functions $X \rightarrow A$ should be isomorphic to the subsets of X :

$$X \rightarrow A \cong \mathcal{P}(X)$$

The only choice of A that satisfies this is $A = 2$, the two-element set $\{0, 1\}$. We can conclude that $X \rightarrow 2 \cong \mathcal{P}(X)$, namely $\downarrow 2 \cong \mathcal{P}$.

If we name the universal element $\eta : \mathcal{P}(2)$, then the natural isomorphism $[\eta] : (X \rightarrow 2) \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{P}(X)$ is defined as

$$[\eta]_X(f) := \{x \mid f(x) = 1\}$$

where $f(x) = 1$ means $f(x) \in \{1\}$.

This universal property in topos theory is called “Subobject Classifier”, a generalization of the predicate to arbitrary categories.

3.1 Universal Properties are Essentially Unique

Finally, we prove one more theorem about universal properties: they are unique up to unique isomorphism. We approach this theorem in two steps.

First, we ask: is $\mathcal{J} : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathbf{Psh}(\mathcal{C})$ a functor? We’ve been defining how \mathcal{J} acts at objects. Now at least we can extend this operation to be a functor by defining how \mathcal{J} acts at morphisms.

Given $f : X \rightarrow Y$, we can define $\mathcal{J}f : \mathcal{J}X \Rightarrow \mathcal{J}Y$ as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} (\mathcal{J}f)_Z(g : \mathcal{J}(X)(Z)) &: \mathcal{J}(Y)(Z) \\ (\mathcal{J}f)_Z(g) &:= f \circ g \end{aligned}$$

which can be concluded by $\mathcal{J}f = f \circ -$.

But to complete our goal of showing that \mathcal{J} is functorial (\mathcal{J} is a functor), we need to show that firstly, $\mathcal{J}f$ is natural in the choice of g (since morphisms of a functor \mathcal{J} are natural transformations); secondly, $\mathcal{J}f$ preserves the identity and composition.

The naturality of $\mathcal{J}f$:

$$f \circ (g \circ h) = (\mathcal{J}f)_Z(g \circ h) = ((\mathcal{J}f)_Z(g)) \circ h = (f \circ g) \circ h$$

$\mathcal{J}f$ preserves the identity and composition

$$\begin{aligned} (\mathcal{J}\text{id})(g) &= \text{id} \circ g = g \\ (f \circ g) \circ h &= (\mathcal{J}(f \circ g))(h) = (\mathcal{J}f)((\mathcal{J}g)(h)) = f \circ (g \circ h) \end{aligned}$$

Now that we’ve established that \mathcal{J} is a functor, the second step is to show that:

Theorem 2. \mathcal{J} is fully faithful.