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## Previously on EECS 483...

## Structure of a modern compiler



```
while (y< z) {
    int x = a + b;
    y += x;
}
```


# Lexical Analysis 

Syntax Analysis

## Semantic Analysis

IR Generation
IR Optimization
Code Generation
Optimization

```
while (y < z) {
    int x = a + b;
    y += x;
}
T While
Lexical Analysis
LeftParen
Identifier y
Less
Identifier z
_RightParen
T_OpenBrace
T Int
Identifier x
_Assign
T Identifier a
T Plus
Identifier b
Semicolon
T_Identifier y
T_PlusAssign
T Identifier x
T Semicolon
T_CloseBrace
```

Lexical Analysis
Syntax Analysis
Semantic Analysis
IR Generation
IR Optimization
Code Generation
Optimization

```
Lexical analysis (Scanning): Group sequence of characters into lexemes - smallest meaningful entity in a language (keywords, identifiers, constants)
```

while (y < z) \{
int $x=a+b ;$
$\mathbf{y}+=\mathbf{x}$;
\}


Syntax analysis (Parsing): Convert a linear structure sequence of tokens - to a hierarchical tree-like structure - abstract syntax tree (AST)

## Goal of Lexical Analysis

Breaking the program down into words or "tokens"
Input: code (character stream)

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \begin{array}{|l|l|l|l|l|l|l|l|l|l|l|l|l|l|l|l|l|l|l|l|}
\hline w & h & i & l & e & & ( & i & p & & < & & z & ) & \text { n } \backslash t+ & + & i & p & \text {; } \\
\hline
\end{array} \\
& \begin{array}{c}
\text { while (ip }<~ z) \\
+ \text { +ip; }
\end{array}
\end{aligned}
$$

## Goal of Lexical Analysis

## Output: Token Stream



$$
\begin{gathered}
\text { while (ip < z) } \\
++i p ;
\end{gathered}
$$

## What's a token?

- What's a lexical unit of code?


What is my name?

## Token Type

| w |  | h | i | 1 | e | ( |  |  | 7 | $<$ | i |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |

- Keyword: for int if else while
- Punctuation: ( ) \{ \};
- Operand: + - ++
- Relation: < > =
- Identifier: (variable name, function name) foo foo_2
- Integer, float point, string: 23452.0 "hello world"
- Whitespace, comment /* this code is awesome */


## Scanning a Source File
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## Scanning a Source File

| $w$ | $h$ | $i$ | $l$ | $e$ |  | $($ | 1 | 3 | 7 |  | $<$ |  | $i$ | $)$ | $\backslash n \backslash t+$ | + | $i$ | ; |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

## Scanning a Source File



## Scanning a Source File

| $\mathbf{w}$ | h | i | 1 | $e$ |  | $($ | 1 | 3 | 7 |  | $<$ |  | $i$ | $)$ | $\backslash n \backslash t$ | + | + | $i$ | ; |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

## Scanning a Source File
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## Scanning a Source File




## Lexical Analyzer

- Recognize substrings that correspond to tokens: lexemes
- Lexeme: actual text of the token
- For each lexeme, identify token type
- <Token type, attribute>
- attribute: optional, extra information, often numeric value


## Challenges for Lexical Analyzer

- How do we determine which lexemes are associated with each token?
- When there are multiple ways we could scan the input, how do we know which one to pick?
- if
- ifc
- How do we address these concerns efficiently?


## Associate Lexemes to Tokens

- Tokens: categorize lexemes by what information they provide.
- Associate lexemes to token: Pattern matching
- How to describe patterns??


## Token: Lexemes

- Keyword: for int if else while Finite possible
- Punctuation: ( ) \{ \};
- Operand: + - ++
- Relation: < > =


## Infinite <br> possible

lexemes

- Identifier: (variable name,function name) foo foo_2
- Integer, float point, string: 23452.0 "hello world"
- How do we describe which (potentially infinite) set of lexemes is associated with each token type?


## Formal Languages

- A formal language is a set of strings.
- Many infinite languages have finite descriptions:
- Define the language using an automaton.
- Define the language using a grammar.
- Define the language using a regular expression.
- We can use these compact descriptions of the language to define sets of strings.
- What type of formal language should we use to describe tokens?


## Regular Expressions

- Regular expressions are a family of descriptions that can be used to capture certain languages (the regular languages).
- Often provide a compact and humanreadable description of the language.
- Used as the basis for numerous software systems


## Atomic Regular Expressions

- The regular expressions we will use in this course begin with two simple building blocks.
- The symbol $\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}$ is a regular expression matches the empty string.
- For any symbol a, the symbol a is a regular expression that just matches a.


## Compound Regular Expressions

- If $R_{1}$ and $R_{2}$ are regular expressions, $\mathbf{R}_{\mathbf{1}} \mathbf{R}_{\mathbf{2}}$ is a regular expression represents the concatenation of the languages of $R_{1}$ and $R_{2}$.
- If $R_{1}$ and $R_{2}$ are regular expressions, $\mathbf{R}_{\mathbf{1}} \mid \mathbf{R}_{\mathbf{2}}$ is a regular expression representing the union of $R_{1}$ and $R_{2}$.
- If R is a regular expression, $\mathbf{R}^{*}$ is a regular expression for the Kleene closure of R.
- If R is a regular expression, ( $\mathbf{R}$ ) is a regular expression with the same meaning as $R$.


## Simple Regular Expressions

- Suppose the only characters are 0 and 1.
- Here is a regular expression for strings containing 00 as a substring:

$$
(0 \text { | 1)*00(0 | 1)* }
$$

## Simple Regular Expressions

- Suppose the only characters are 0 and 1.
- Here is a regular expression for strings containing 00 as a substring:

$$
(0 \text { | 1)*00(0 | 1)* }
$$

## Simple Regular Expressions

- Suppose the only characters are 0 and 1.
- Here is a regular expression for strings containing 00 as a substring:
$(0 \mid 1)^{*} 00(0 \mid 1)^{*}$

11011100101
0000
11111011110011111

## Simple Regular Expressions

- Suppose the only characters are 0 and 1.
- Here is a regular expression for strings containing 00 as a substring:
$(0 \mid 1)^{*} 00(0 \mid 1)^{*}$

11011100101
0000
11111011110011111

## Applied Regular Expressions

- Suppose that our alphabet is all ASCII characters.
- A regular expression for even numbers is


## Applied Regular Expressions

- Suppose that our alphabet is all ASCII characters.
- A regular expression for even numbers is

$$
(+\mid-) ?(0|1| 2|3| 4|5| 6|7| 8 \mid 9)^{*}(0|2| 4|6| 8)
$$

$$
\begin{gathered}
42 \\
+1370 \\
-3248 \\
-9999912
\end{gathered}
$$

- More examples
- Whitespace: [ $\backslash t \mid n]+$
- Integers: [+\-]?[0-9]+
- Hex numbers: $0 x[0-9 a-f]+$
- identifier
- $[A-Z a-z]([A-Z a-z] \mid[0-9])^{*}$
- Use regular expressions to describe token types
- How do we match regular expressions?


## Recognizing Regular Language

What is the machine that recognize regular language??

- Finite Automata
- DFA (Deterministic Finite Automata)
- NFA (Non-deterministic Finite Automata)


## A Simple Automaton
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## A Simple Automaton



## " H E Y A "

Finite Automata: Takes an input string and determines whether it's a valid sentence of a language

## A Simple Automaton
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## A Simple Automaton
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## An Even More Complex Automaton



## Lexer Generator

- Given regular expressions to describe the language (token types),
- Step I: Generates NFA that can recognize the regular language defined
- existing algorithms
- Step 2:Transforms NFA to DFA
- existing algorithms
- Tools: lex, flex


## Challenges for Lexical Analyzer

- How do we determine which lexemes are associated with each token?
- Regular expression to describe token type
- When there are multiple ways we could scan the input, how do we know which one to pick?
- How do we address these concerns efficiently?


## Lexing Ambiguities

T_For
T_Identifier

$$
\left[A-Z a-z_{-}\right]\left[A-Z a-z 0-9 \_\right] *
$$

## Lexing Ambiguities

T_For for
T_Identifier [A-Za-z_][A-Za-z0-9_]*

$$
\begin{array}{l|l|l|l|}
\mathrm{f} & \mathrm{o} & \mathrm{r} & \mathrm{t} \\
\hline
\end{array}
$$

## Lexing Ambiguities



## Conflict Resolution

- Assume all tokens are specified as regular expressions.
- Algorithm: Left-to-right scan.
- Tiebreaking rule one: Maximal munch.
- Always match the longest possible prefix of the remaining text.


## Lexing Ambiguities



$$
\begin{array}{l|l|l|l}
\mathrm{f} & \mathrm{o} & \mathrm{r} & \mathrm{t} \\
\hline
\end{array}
$$

## Implementing Maximal Munch

- Given a set of regular expressions, how can we use them to implement maximum munch?
- Example


## Implementing Maximal Munch

T_Do<br>T_Double<br>T_Mystery

do
double
[A-Za-z]

## Implementing Maximal Munch

| T_Do | do |
| :--- | :--- |
| T_Double | double |
| T_Mystery | $[A-Z a-z]$ |
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## Implementing Maximal Munch

| T _Do | do |
| :--- | :--- |
| T _Double | double |
| T _Mystery | $[A-Z a-z]$ |



$$
\begin{array}{|l|l|l|l|l|l|l|l|l}
\hline \mathrm{D} & \mathrm{O} & \mathrm{U} & \mathrm{~B} & \mathrm{D} & \mathrm{O} & \mathrm{U} & \mathrm{~B} & \mathrm{~L} \\
\hline
\end{array}
$$

- 
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## Implementing Maximal Munch

| T_Do | do |
| :--- | :--- |
| T_Double | double |
| T_Mystery | $[A-Z a-z]$ |


-

## Implementing Maximal Munch

| T _Do | do |
| :--- | :--- |
| T _Double | double |
| T _Mystery | $[A-Z a-z]$ |


$\stackrel{\text { start }}{\Sigma} \bigcirc$

T

## Implementing Maximal Munch

| T _Do | do |
| :--- | :--- |
| T _Double | double |
| T _Mystery | $[A-Z a-z]$ |


start $\bigcirc \frac{\Sigma}{}$

$$
\frac{\pi}{\operatorname{DOUBDOUBLE}}
$$

## Implementing Maximal Munch
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## Implementing Maximal Munch

| T _Do | do |
| :--- | :--- |
| T _Double | double |
| T _Mystery | $[A-Z a-z]$ |


start $\bigcirc \frac{\Sigma}{}$

$$
\begin{array}{c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c}
\hline \text { D } & \text { O } & \mathrm{U} & \mathrm{~B} & \mathrm{D} & \mathrm{O} & \mathrm{U} & \mathrm{~B} \\
\hline
\end{array}
$$

- 


## Implementing Maximal Munch

| T _Do | do |
| :--- | :--- |
| T _Double | double |
| T _Mystery | $[A-Z a-z]$ |



$$
\begin{array}{|l|l|l|l|l|l}
\hline \mathrm{U} & \mathrm{~B} & \mathrm{D} & \mathrm{O} & \mathrm{U} & \mathrm{~B} \\
\mathrm{~L} & \mathrm{E} \\
\hline
\end{array}
$$

- 


## Implementing Maximal Munch

| T_Do | do |
| :--- | :--- |
| T_Double | double |
| T_Mystery | $[A-Z a-z]$ |



$$
\begin{array}{|l|l|l|l|l|l|l|l}
\hline \mathrm{U} & \mathrm{~B} & \mathrm{D} & \mathrm{O} & \mathrm{U} & \mathrm{~B} & \mathrm{~L} & \mathrm{E} \\
\hline
\end{array}
$$

- 


## Implementing Maximal Munch

| T_Do | do |
| :--- | :--- |
| T_Double | double |
| T_Mystery | $[A-Z a-z]$ |



| U | B | D | O | U | B | L |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

T

## Implementing Maximal Munch

| T_Do | do |
| :--- | :--- |
| T_Double | double |
| T_Mystery | $[A-Z a-z]$ |
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## Implementing Maximal Munch

| T_Do | do |
| :--- | :--- |
| T_Double | double |
| T_Mystery | $[A-Z a-z]$ |



| B | D | O | U | B | L | E |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

1

## Implementing Maximal Munch

| T_Do | do |
| :--- | :--- |
| T_Double | double |
| T_Mystery | $[A-Z a-z]$ |



| B | D | O | U | B | L | E |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

1
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| B | D | O | U | B | L | E |
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## Implementing Maximal Munch

| T_Do | do |
| :--- | :--- |
| T_Double | double |
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\begin{array}{|l|l|l|l|l|l|l|l|}
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\hline
\end{array}
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| :--- | :--- |
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| T_Do | do |
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| T_Double | double |
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## Implementing Maximal Munch

| T_Do | do |
| :--- | :--- |
| T_Double | double |
| T_Mystery | $[A-Z a-z]$ |



$$
\mathrm{D} \text { O U } \mathrm{B} \begin{array}{|l|l|l|l|l|l|}
\hline \mathrm{D} \mid \mathrm{O} & \mathrm{U} & \mathrm{~B} & \mathrm{~L} & \mathrm{E} \\
\hline
\end{array}
$$

## Implementing Maximal Munch

| T_Do | do |
| :--- | :--- |
| T_Double | double |
| T_Mystery | $[A-Z a-z]$ |



$$
\begin{array}{|l|l|l|l|l|l|l|l|l|}
\hline D & \mathrm{U} & \mathrm{D} & \\
\hline
\end{array}
$$

## Implementing Maximal Munch

| T_Do | do |
| :--- | :--- |
| T_Double | double |
| T_Mystery | $[A-Z a-z]$ |




## Implementing Maximal Munch

| T_Do | do |
| :--- | :--- |
| T_Double | double |
| T_Mystery | $[A-Z a-z]$ |


start $\bigcirc \frac{\Sigma}{}$


## A Minor Simplification



## Other Conflicts

| T_Do | do |
| :--- | :--- |
| T_Double double |  |
| $T_{-}$Identifier | $\left[\mathrm{A}-\mathrm{Za}-Z_{-}\right]\left[\mathrm{A}-\mathrm{Za}-\mathrm{zO} 0-9 \_\right] *$ |

$$
\begin{array}{l|l|l|l|l|l}
\mathrm{d} & \mathrm{o} & \mathrm{u} & \mathrm{~b} & \mathrm{l} & \mathrm{e}
\end{array}
$$

## More Tiebreaking

- When two regular expressions apply, choose the one with the greater "priority."
- Simple priority system: pick the rule that was defined first.


## Other Conflicts

| $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{T}_{1} \text { Do } \\ & \mathrm{T}_{-} \text {Double } \end{aligned}$ |  | ub | a-z |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| d | o | u |  |  |  |



## Other Conflicts

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { T Do do } \\
& \text { T_Double double } \\
& \text { T_Identifier [A-Za-z_][A-Za-z0-9_]* }
\end{aligned}
$$

## Implement a lexical analyzer

- Step I:Use regular expressions to describe token types (keyword, identifier, integer constant..)

```
Number = digit + ...
Keyword = 'if' + 'else' + ...
Identifier = letter (letter + digit)*
OpenPar = '('
```

Then construct Regular language R , matching all lexemes for all tokens

$$
\begin{aligned}
R & =\text { Keyword }+ \text { Identifier }+ \text { Number }+\ldots \\
& =R 1+R 2+\ldots
\end{aligned}
$$

- Step 2: Use DFA/NFA to recognize the regular language
- But...good news. you don't need to implement the algorithms to transform your regular expressions to DFA/NFA to recognize it
- flex: given regular expressions -> output c code that does lexical analysis (it internally generates DFA)


## Lexical analyzer

REs + priorities + longest matching token rule
= definition of a lexical analyzer

## DFA vs. NFA

- NFAs and DFAs recognize the same set of languages (regular languages)
- For a given NFA, there exists a DFA, and vice versa
- DFAs are faster to execute
- There are no choices to consider
- Tradeoff: simplicity
- For a given language DFA can be exponentially larger than NFA.


## Automating Lexical Analyzer (scanner) Construction

To convert a specification into code:
1 Write down the RE for the input language
2 Build a big NFA
3 Build the DFA that simulates the NFA
4 Systematically shrink the DFA
5 Turn it into code

Scanner generators

- Lex and Flex work along these lines
- Algorithms are well-known and well-understood


## Automating Lexical Analyzer (scanner) Construction

## RE $\rightarrow$ NFA (Thompson's construction)

- Build an NFA for each term
- Combine them with $\varepsilon$-moves

NFA $\rightarrow$ DFA (subset construction)

- Build the simulation


DFA $\rightarrow$ Minimal DFA

- Hopcroft's algorithm

DFA $\rightarrow$ RE (Not part of the scanner construction)

- All pairs, all paths problem
- Take the union of all paths from $s_{0}$ to an accepting state



## re $\rightarrow$ NFA using Thompson's Construction

## Key idea

- NFA pattern for each symbol \& each operator
- Join them with $\varepsilon$ moves in precedence order


NFA for


NFA for $\underline{\mathbf{a}} \mid \underline{b}$


Ken Thompson, CACM, 1968

## Example of Thompson's Construction

Let's try $\underline{a}(\underline{b} \mid \underline{c})^{*}$

1. $\underline{a}, \underline{b}, \& \underline{c}$

2. $\underline{b} \mid \underline{c}$

3. $(\underline{b} \mid \underline{c})^{*}$


## Example of Thompson's Construction

4. $\underline{a}(\underline{b} \mid \underline{c})^{*}$


Of course, a human would design something simpler ...


But, we can automate production of the more complex one ...


## NFA to DFA : Trick

- Simulate the NFA
- Each state of DFA
$=$ a non-empty subset of states of the NFA
- Start state
$=$ the set of NFA states reachable through e-moves from NFA start state
- Add a transition $S \rightarrow^{a} S^{\prime}$ to DFA iff
- S' is the set of NFA states reachable from any state in S after seeing the input a, considering $\varepsilon$-moves as well


## NFA to DFA : cont..

- An NFA may be in many states at any time
- How many different states ?
- If there are N states, the NFA must be in some subset of those N states
- How many subsets are there?

$$
2^{\wedge} N-1=\text { finitely many }
$$

## NFA to DFA

- Remove the non-determinism
- States with multiple outgoing edges due to same input
$-\varepsilon$ transitions
$\left(a^{*} \mid b^{*}\right) c^{*}$



## NFA to DFA (2)

- Multiple transitions
- Solve by subset construction
- Build new DFA based upon the set of states each representing a unique subset of states in NFA

$\varepsilon$-closure $(1)=\{1\}$ include state " 1 "
$(1, a) \rightarrow\{1,2\}$ include state " $1 / 2$ "
$(1, b) \rightarrow$ ERROR


## NFA to DFA (3)

- $\varepsilon$ transitions
- Any state reachable by an $\varepsilon$ transition is "part of the state"
- $\varepsilon$-closure - Any state reachable from $S$ by $\varepsilon$ transitions is in the $\varepsilon$-closure; treat $\varepsilon$-closure as 1 big state, always include $\varepsilon$-closure as part of the state


1. $\varepsilon$-closure(1) $=\{1,2,3\} ; \quad$ include $1 / 2 / 3$
2. $\operatorname{Move}(1 / 2 / 3, a)=\{2,3\}+\varepsilon$-closure $(2,3)=\{2,3\}$; include $2 / 3$
3. $\operatorname{Move}(1 / 2 / 3, b)=\{3\}+\varepsilon$-closure $(3)=\{3\} \quad$;include state 3
4. $\operatorname{Move}(2 / 3, a)=\{2\}+\varepsilon$-closure $(2)=\{2,3\}$
5. $\operatorname{Move}(2 / 3, b)=\{3\}+\varepsilon$-closure $(3)=\{3\}$
6. Move $(3, b)=\{3\}+\varepsilon$-closure $(3)=\{3\}$

## NFA to DFA (3)

- $\varepsilon$ transitions
- Any state reachable by an $\varepsilon$ transition is "part of the state"
- $\varepsilon$-closure - Any state reachable from $S$ by $\varepsilon$ transitions is in the $\varepsilon$-closure; treat $\varepsilon$-closure as 1 big state, always include $\varepsilon$-closure as part of the state


1. $\varepsilon$-closure(1) $=\{1,2,3\}$;
include1/2/3
2. $\operatorname{Move}(1 / 2 / 3, a)=\{2,3\}+\varepsilon$-closure $(2,3)=\{2,3\}$; include $2 / 3$
3. $\operatorname{Move}(1 / 2 / 3, b)=\{3\}+\varepsilon$-closure $(3)=\{3\} \quad$;include state 3
4. $\operatorname{Move}(2 / 3, a)=\{2\}+\varepsilon$-closure $(2)=\{2,3\}$
5. $\operatorname{Move}(2 / 3, b)=\{3\}+\varepsilon$-closure $(3)=\{3\}$
6. $\operatorname{Move}(3, b)=\{3\}+\varepsilon$-closure $(3)=\{3\}$

## NFA to DFA - Example



## NFA to DFA - Example



$$
\begin{aligned}
& \varepsilon \text {-closure }(1)=\{1,2,3,5\} \\
& \text { Create a new state } A=\{1,2,3,5\} \\
& \text { move }(A, a)=\{3,6\}+\varepsilon \text {-closure }(3,6)=\{3,6\} \\
& \text { Create } B=\{3,6\} \\
& \text { move }(A, b)=\{4\}+\varepsilon \text {-closure }(4)=\{4\} \\
& \operatorname{move}(B, a)=\{6\}+\varepsilon \text {-closure }(6)=\{6\} \\
& \text { move }(B, b)=\{4\}+\varepsilon \text {-closure }(4)=\{4\} \\
& \text { move }(6, a)=\{6\}+\varepsilon \text {-closure }(6)=\{6\} \\
& \operatorname{move}(6, b) \rightarrow \text { ERROR } \\
& \operatorname{move}(4, a \mid b) \rightarrow \text { ERROR }
\end{aligned}
$$

## Class Problem




## State Minimization

- Resulting DFA can be quite large
- Contains redundant or equivalent states



## State Minimization (2)

- Idea - find groups of equivalent states and merge them
- All transitions from states in group G1 go to states in another group G2
- Construct minimized DFA such that there is 1 state for each group of states




## DFA Implementation

- A DFA can be implemented by a 2D table T
- One dimension is "states"
- Other dimension is "input symbol"
- For every transition Si $\rightarrow^{\mathrm{a}}$ Sk define $\mathrm{T}[\mathrm{i}, \mathrm{a}]=\mathrm{k}$
- DFA "execution"
- If in state Si and input a, read T[i,a] = k and skip to state Sk
- Very efficient


## DFA Table Implementation : Example



|  | 0 | 1 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $S$ | $T$ | $U$ |
| $T$ | $T$ | $U$ |
| $U$ | $T$ | $U$ |

## Implementation Cont ..

- NFA -> DFA conversion is at the heart of tools such as flex
- But, DFAs can be huge
- In practice, flex-like tools trade off speed for space in the choice of NFA and DFA representations

