

# Problem Set 5

Released: March 6, 2023

Due: March 17, 2023, 11:59pm

Last modified: Mar 13, 2023, 5pm

Modifications:

- Add several more definitions and the weak initiality theorems as a reference.
- Fix some notation  $\text{Un}_\gamma$  to match that used in class.
- Add assumption that  $\mathcal{C}$  has a terminal object to problem 1.

Submit your solutions to this homework on Canvas in a group of 2 or 3. Your solutions must be submitted in pdf produced using LaTeX.

**Definition 1.** Let  $\mathcal{C}$  be a category

- An initial object in  $\mathcal{C}$  is an object  $0 \in \mathcal{C}$  such that for any  $a \in \mathcal{C}$ , there is a unique morphism

$$[] : \mathcal{C}(0, a)$$

- A binary coproduct structure for  $a_1, a_2 \in \mathcal{C}$  consists of
  - An object  $a_1 + a_2 \in \mathcal{C}$
  - Morphisms  $i_1 : \mathcal{C}(a_1, a_1 + a_2)$  and  $i_2 : \mathcal{C}(a_2, a_1 + a_2)$
  - Such that for every  $g_1 : \mathcal{C}(a_1, b)$  and  $g_2 : \mathcal{C}(a_2, b)$  there exists a unique  $[g_1, g_2] : \mathcal{C}(a_1 + a_2, b)$  satisfying  $[g_1, g_2] \circ i_1 = g_1$  and  $[g_1, g_2] \circ i_2 = g_2$ .

**Definition 2.** Let  $\mathcal{C}$  be a category with binary products.

An initial object  $0 \in \mathcal{C}$  is distributive if for every  $a \in \mathcal{C}$  the unique morphism

$$0 \rightarrow a \times 0$$

is an isomorphism.

A binary coproduct  $a_1 + a_2$  with injections  $i_1 : a_1 \rightarrow a_1 + a_2$  and  $i_2 : a_2 \rightarrow a_1 + a_2$  is distributive if for every  $b \in \mathcal{C}$ , the morphism

$$[id_b \times i_1, id_b \times i_2] : (b \times a_1) + (b \times a_2) \rightarrow b \times (a_1 + a_2)$$

is an isomorphism.

**Definition 3.** A CT structure  $\mathcal{S}$  consists of

1. A category  $\mathcal{S}_c$
2. A set  $\mathcal{S}_T$ .
3. For each type  $A \in \mathcal{S}_T$  a predicator  $\text{Tm}(A)$  on  $\mathcal{S}_c$ .
4. A terminal object  $1 \in \mathcal{S}_c$
5. For each  $\Gamma_1, \Gamma_2 \in \mathcal{S}_c$  a product structure  $(\Gamma_1 \times \Gamma_2, \pi_1, \pi_2)$  for  $\Gamma_1, \Gamma_2$ , that is
  - An object  $\Gamma_1 \times \Gamma_2 \in \mathcal{S}_c$
  - Morphisms  $\pi_1^{\Gamma_1, \Gamma_2} : \Gamma_1 \times \Gamma_2 \rightarrow \Gamma_1$  and  $\pi_2^{\Gamma_1, \Gamma_2} : \Gamma_1 \times \Gamma_2 \rightarrow \Gamma_2$ .
  - Such that for any  $\Delta \in \mathcal{S}_c$  and  $f_1 : \Delta \rightarrow \Gamma_1$  and  $f_2 : \Delta \rightarrow \Gamma_2$  there exists a unique  $(f_1, f_2) : \Delta \rightarrow \Gamma_1 \times \Gamma_2$  such that  $\pi_1^{\Gamma_1, \Gamma_2} \circ (f_1, f_2) = f_1$  and  $\pi_2^{\Gamma_1, \Gamma_2} \circ (f_1, f_2) = f_2$ .
6. For each  $A \in \mathcal{S}_T$  a singleton context structure  $(\text{sole}A, \text{var})$  for  $A$ , that is,
  - An object  $\text{sole}A \in \mathcal{S}_c$
  - An element  $\text{var}^A \in \text{Tm}(A)(\text{sole}A)$
  - Such that for any  $\Gamma \in \mathcal{S}_c$  and  $M \in \text{Tm}(A)(\Gamma)$ , there exists a unique  $M/\text{var}^A \in \Gamma \rightarrow \text{sole}A$  such that  $\text{var}^A * M/\text{var}^A = M$ .

**Definition 4.** Let  $\mathcal{S}$  be a CT structure and  $\Gamma \in \mathcal{S}_c$ . Define a category  $\text{Un}_\Gamma$  as follows:

- $(\text{Un}_\Gamma)_0 = \mathcal{S}_T$
- $(\text{Un}_\Gamma)_1(A, B) = \text{Tm}_\mathcal{S}B(\Gamma \times \text{sole}A)$
- With identity
 
$$\text{id}_A = \text{var}^A * (\pi_2^{\Gamma, \text{sole}A})$$
- composition of  $M \in \text{Tm}_\mathcal{S}C(\Gamma \times \text{sole}B)$  and  $N \in \text{Tm}_\mathcal{S}B(\Gamma \times \text{sole}A)$  defined as
 
$$M \circ N = M * (\pi_1^{\Gamma, \text{sole}A}, N/\text{var}^B)$$
- Identity and associativity properties follow from properties of products and the singleton contexts.

Let  $\gamma \in \mathcal{S}_c(\Delta, \Gamma)$ , then we define a functor  $\text{Un}_\gamma : \text{Un}_\Gamma \rightarrow \text{Un}_\Delta$  as

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Un}_\gamma(A) &= A \\ \text{Un}_\gamma(M) &= M * (\gamma \circ \pi_1, \pi_2) \end{aligned}$$

This preserves identity and composition again by properties of products and singleton contexts.

**Definition 5.** Let  $\mathcal{S}$  be a CT structure.

- A unit type in  $\mathcal{S}$  is a type  $1 \in \mathcal{S}_T$  such that for every  $\Gamma \in \mathcal{S}_c$  there exists a unique term  $() \in \text{Tm}(1)(\Gamma)$ .
- A product of types  $A_1, A_2 \in \mathcal{S}_T$  is a type  $A_1 \times A_2 \in \mathcal{S}_T$  with terms  $\pi_1 \in \text{Tm}(A_1)(\text{sole}(A_1 \times A_2))$  and  $\pi_2 \in \text{Tm}(A_2)(\text{sole}(A_1 \times A_2))$  such that for any pair of terms  $M_1 \in \text{Tm}(A_1)(\Gamma)$  and  $M_2 \in \text{Tm}(A_2)(\Gamma)$  there exists a unique term  $(M_1, M_2) \in \text{Tm}(A_1 \times A_2)(\Gamma)$  satisfying  $\pi_1 * (M_1, M_2) = M_1$  and  $\pi_2 * (M_1, M_2) = M_2$ .
- An exponential of types  $A, B \in \mathcal{S}_T$  is a type  $A \Rightarrow B \in \mathcal{S}_T$  with a term  $\text{app} \in \text{Tm}B(\text{sole}(A \Rightarrow B) \times \text{sole}A)$  such that for any  $M \in \text{Tm}B(\Gamma \times \text{sole}A)$  there exists a unique  $\lambda M \in \text{Tm}(A \Rightarrow B)\Gamma$  satisfying  $\text{app} * (\lambda M * \pi_1^{\Gamma, \text{sole}A}, \pi_2^{\Gamma, \text{sole}A}) = M$
- An empty type in  $\mathcal{S}$  is a type  $0 \in \mathcal{S}_T$  such that for every  $\Gamma \in \mathcal{S}_c$ ,  $0$  is an initial object in  $\text{Un}_\Gamma$ .
- A sum type for  $A_1, A_2 \in \mathcal{S}_T$  is a type  $A_1 + A_2 \in \mathcal{S}_T$  with for each  $\Gamma \in \mathcal{S}_c$  a coproduct structure  $(A_1 + A_2, i_1^\Gamma, i_2^\Gamma)$  for  $A_1, A_2$  such that for every  $\gamma \in \mathcal{S}_c(\Delta, \Gamma)$ ,

$$\text{Un}_\gamma(i_1^\Gamma) = i_1^\Delta$$

and

$$\text{Un}_\gamma(i_2^\Gamma) = i_2^\Delta$$

**Definition 6.** Let  $\mathcal{S}$  be a CT structure

- A binary coproduct structure for  $a_1, a_2 \in \mathcal{C}$  consists of
  - An object  $a_1 + a_2 \in \mathcal{C}$
  - Morphisms  $i_1 : \mathcal{C}(a_1, a_1 + a_2)$  and  $i_2 : \mathcal{C}(a_2, a_1 + a_2)$
  - Such that for every  $g_1 : \mathcal{C}(a_1, b)$  and  $g_2 : \mathcal{C}(a_2, b)$  there exists a unique  $[g_1, g_2] : \mathcal{C}(a_1 + a_2, b)$  satisfying  $[g_1, g_2] \circ i_1 = g_1$  and  $[g_1, g_2] \circ i_2 = g_2$ .

## Problem 1 Sums and Distributive coproducts

Let  $\mathcal{C}$  be a category with a terminal object and all binary products, i.e., all finite products. In class we discussed that (almost tautologically)  $\mathcal{C}$  has

- all exponentials if and only if  $\text{self}\mathcal{C}$  has all function types.

Your task is to prove the following non-trivial correspondences:

1.  $\mathcal{C}$  has a *distributive* initial object if and only if  $\text{self}\mathcal{C}$  has an empty type.
2. For any  $a, b \in \mathcal{C}$ ,  $\mathcal{C}$  has a *distributive* coproduct of  $a$  and  $b$  if and only if  $\text{self}\mathcal{C}$  has a sum type of  $a$  and  $b$ .

.....

**Definition 7.** A CT structure homomorphism  $F : \mathcal{S} \rightarrow \mathcal{T}$  consists of

- A functor  $F_c : \mathcal{S}_c \rightarrow \mathcal{T}_c$  of context categories such that
  - If  $1 \in \mathcal{S}_c$  is the chosen terminal object of  $\mathcal{S}_c$  then  $F_c 1$  is terminal in  $\mathcal{T}_c$ .
  - For every  $\Gamma_1, \Gamma_2$ ,  $F_c(\Gamma_1 \times \Gamma_2), F_c(\pi_1^{\Gamma_1, \Gamma_2}), F_c(\pi_2^{\Gamma_1, \Gamma_2})$  is a product structure for  $F_c \Gamma_1, F_c \Gamma_2$  in  $\mathcal{T}_c$ .
- A function  $F_T : \mathcal{S}_T \rightarrow \mathcal{T}_T$  of types and for each  $A \in \mathcal{S}_T$ , a natural transformation  $F_{Tm} : Tm(A) \rightarrow Tm(F_T A) \circ F_c^{op}$  such that
  - For each  $A \in \mathcal{S}_T$ ,  $(F_T(\text{sole } A), F_{Tm}(\text{var}^A))$  is a singleton context structure for  $F_T A$ .

**Definition 8.** Let  $\mathcal{S}, \mathcal{T}$  be CT structures such that  $\mathcal{S}$  has a unit type  $1$  and all product types  $(A_1 \times A_2, \pi_1, \pi_2)$  and let  $F : \mathcal{S} \rightarrow \mathcal{T}$  be a homomorphism of CT structures.

1.  $F$  preserves the unit type if  $F_T 1$  is a unit type in  $\mathcal{T}$
2.  $F$  preserves product types if for every product type structure  $(A_1 \times A_2, \pi_1, \pi_2)$  for  $A_1, A_2$ ,  $(F_T(A_1 \times A_2), F_{Tm}(\pi_1), F_{Tm}(\pi_2))$  is a product structure for  $F A_1, F A_2$ .

**Definition 9.** A homomorphism of CT structures  $F : \mathcal{S} \rightarrow \mathcal{T}$  is faithful if for each  $\Gamma \in \mathcal{S}_c$  and  $A \in \mathcal{S}_T$ , the function  $F_{tm}^{A, \Gamma} : Tm_{\mathcal{S}}(A)(\Gamma) \rightarrow Tm_{\mathcal{T}}(F A)(F \Gamma)$  is injective.

For the remainder, fix a set of base types  $\Sigma_0$

**Definition 10.** Define  $\mathcal{L}(\times, 1)$  to be the syntactic CT structure for STT generated from the base types in  $\Sigma_0$  and the connectives  $1, \times$ .

- $\mathcal{L}(\times, 1)_T$  is the set of STT types generated from base types and  $1, \times$
- $\mathcal{L}(\times, 1)_c$  is the category of STT contexts and substitutions using base types and  $1, \times$
- $Tm_{\mathcal{L}(\times, 1)}$  is the predicator of terms using base types and  $1, \times$ .

Similarly define  $\mathcal{L}(\times, 1, \Rightarrow)$  to be the syntactic CT structure for STT generated from base types in  $\Sigma_0$  and the connectives  $1, \times, \Rightarrow$ .

**Theorem 1** (Weak Initiality of Syntactic CT Structures). Let  $\mathcal{S}$  be a CT structure and  $\iota : \Sigma_0 \rightarrow \mathcal{S}_T$  a function.

- If  $\mathcal{S}$  has unit and product types, then we can construct a homomorphism of CT structures (soundness)

$$\llbracket \cdot \rrbracket^t : \mathcal{L}(\times, 1) \rightarrow \mathcal{S}$$

that preserves unit and product types and base types in that for every  $X \in \Sigma_0$ ,  $\llbracket \cdot \rrbracket^i = i(X)$ .

Furthermore (completeness)  $\llbracket \cdot \rrbracket^t$  is essentially unique, in that if  $F : \mathcal{L}(\times, 1) \rightarrow \mathcal{S}$  is a homomorphism preserving unit types, product types and  $F(X) = i(X)$  for every  $X \in \Sigma_0$ , then there is a unique natural isomorphism  $\alpha_c : \mathcal{S}_c^{\mathcal{L}(\times, 1)_c}(\llbracket \cdot \rrbracket^t, F)$ .

- An analogous theorem holds for  $\mathcal{L}(\times, 1, \Rightarrow)$ : if  $\mathcal{S}$  has unit, product and function types, we can construct a homomorphism of CT structures (soundness)

$$(\cdot)^t : \mathcal{L}(\times, 1, \Rightarrow) \rightarrow \mathcal{S}$$

that preserves unit, product, function types and base types.

Furthermore (completeness)  $(\cdot)^t$  is essentially unique, in that if  $F : \mathcal{L}(\times, 1, \Rightarrow) \rightarrow \mathcal{S}$  is a homomorphism preserving unit types, product types, function types and base types then there is a unique natural isomorphism  $\alpha_C : \mathcal{S}_c^{\mathcal{L}(\times, 1, \Rightarrow)^c}((\cdot)^t, F)$ .

**Definition 11.** Define a CT structure homomorphism  $i : \mathcal{L}(\times, 1) \rightarrow \mathcal{L}(\times, 1, \Rightarrow)$ , the inclusion of the smaller type theory into the larger one:

$$\begin{aligned} i_c(\Gamma) &= \Gamma \\ i_c(\gamma) &= \gamma \\ i_{ty}(A) &= A \\ i_{tm}([M]) &= [M] \quad ([M] \text{ means the equivalence class of } M \text{ in the equational theory.}) \end{aligned}$$

Observe that this is a CT structure homomorphism and additionally preserves product types and the unit type.

## Problem 2 Conservativity of Adding Function Types to STT

Our goal is to prove that adding function types to STT with product types results in a *conservative extension* of the equational theory. That is, we want to show for any  $\Gamma \in \mathcal{L}(\times, 1)_c$  and  $A \in \mathcal{L}(\times, 1)_{ty}$ , and  $\Gamma \vdash M : A$  and  $\Gamma \vdash M' : A$ , if  $\Gamma \vdash M = M' : A$  is provable in  $STT(\times, 1, \Rightarrow)$ , then in fact  $\Gamma \vdash M = M' : A$  is already provable in  $STT(\times, 1)$ . Unraveling definitions, this says precisely that the homomorphism  $i$  is *faithful*.

We will prove this using a generalization of the method we used in problem set 1<sup>1</sup>.

1. Show that if  $F : \mathcal{S} \rightarrow \mathcal{T}$  and  $G : \mathcal{T} \rightarrow \mathcal{U}$  are homomorphisms of CT structures and  $G \circ F$  is faithful then  $F$  is faithful.
2. Show that if  $F : \mathcal{S} \rightarrow \mathcal{T}$  and  $F' : \mathcal{S} \rightarrow \mathcal{T}$  are homomorphisms of CT structures and  $\alpha_c \in \mathcal{T}_c^{S_c}(F, F')$  is a natural isomorphism and  $F$  is faithful then  $F'$  is faithful.
3. Show that for any category  $\mathcal{C}$ , the category of predicators  $\mathcal{PC}$  is cartesian closed (HINT: the cartesian closed structure is a direct generalization of the Heyting algebra structure you constructed in PS1). Therefore  $\text{self}(\mathcal{PC})$  has unit, binary products and function types.

<sup>1</sup>again, there is a more complex proof that proves conservativity when we additionally have sum types

4. Define for every C-T structure  $\mathcal{S}$ , a homomorphism  $Y : \mathcal{S} \rightarrow \text{self}(\mathcal{P}\mathcal{S}_c)$  (Hint: use the Yoneda embedding) that
  - is faithful (Hint: use the Yoneda lemma)
  - preserves unit and product types
5. Define a homomorphism of CT structures  $G : \mathcal{L}(\times, 1, \Rightarrow) \rightarrow \text{self}(\mathcal{P}\mathcal{L}(\times, 1)_c)$  and a natural isomorphism between  $G \circ i$  and  $Y$ . (Hint: use the soundness part of weak initiality for  $\mathcal{L}(\times, 1, \Rightarrow)$  and the completeness part of weak initiality for  $\mathcal{L}(\times, 1)$ ).
6. Conclude that  $i$  is faithful.

In fact, this functor  $i$  satisfies an additional property: it is also *full*, meaning that  $i_{tm}^{A,\Gamma}$  is not just injective but also *surjective*. That is, for any  $\Gamma \in \mathcal{L}(\times, 1)_c$  and  $A \in \mathcal{L}(\times, 1, \Rightarrow)_T$ , if  $\Gamma \vdash M : A$  is a term in  $STT(\times, 1, \Rightarrow)$  then there exists a term  $\Gamma \vdash M' : A$  in  $STT(\times, 1)$  such that  $\Gamma \vdash M = M' : A$  is provable. This can be proven using a more complex, but similar construction. See Crole chapter 4.10 for a variant of this argument.

.....